

December 2004

SCH No. 20030536

**ULTRAMAR INC. - VALERO
WILMINGTON REFINERY**

ALKYLATION IMPROVEMENT PROJECT

FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT

Volume I: Final Environmental Impact Report

Executive Officer

Barry Wallerstein, D. Env.

Deputy Executive Officer

Planning, Rule Development, and Area Sources

Elaine Chang, DrPH

Assistant Deputy Executive Officer

Planning, Rule Development, and Area Sources

Laki Tisopulos, Ph.D, P.E.

Planning and Rules Manager

Susan Nakamura

Submitted to:

SOUTH COAST AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT

Prepared by:

ENVIRONMENTAL AUDIT, INC.

Reviewed by: Steve Smith, Ph.D. - Program Supervisor
Frances Keeler - Senior Deputy District Counsel
James Koizumi - Air Quality Specialist

PREFACE

This document constitutes the Final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for the Ultramar Inc. Valero Wilmington Refinery Alkylation Improvement Project. The Draft EIR was circulated for a 45-day public review and comment period on April 1, 2004. The comment period ended on May 18, 2004. Two comment letters were received during the public comment period and one additional letter was received after the close of the public comment period. The comment letters and responses are included in Appendix E of this document. The project description in the Final EIR was modified from that in the Draft EIR due to some changes proposed by the applicant. The proposed project changes were evaluated and minor modifications have been made to the Draft EIR. None of the modifications alter any conclusions reached in the Draft EIR, nor provide new information of substantial importance relative to the draft document that would require recirculation of the Draft EIR pursuant to CEQA Guidelines §15088.5. Therefore, this document is now a Final EIR. Additions to the text of the EIR are denoted using italics. Text that has been eliminated is shown using ~~strike-outs~~.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SOUTH COAST AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT GOVERNING BOARD

Chairman:	WILLIAM A. BURKE, Ed.D. Speaker of the Assembly Representative
Vice Chairman:	S. ROY WILSON, Ed.D. Supervisor, Fourth District Riverside County Representative

MEMBERS

MICHAEL D. ANTONOVICH
Supervisor, Fifth District
Los Angeles County Representative

JANE CARNEY
Senate Rules Committee Appointee

WILLIAM S. CRAYCRAFT
Councilmember, City of Mission Viejo
Cities Representative, Orange County

BEATRICE J. S. LAPISTO – KIRTLEY
Mayor, City of Bradbury
Cities Representative, Los Angeles County, Eastern Region

RONALD O. LOVERIDGE
Mayor, City of Riverside
Cities Representative, Riverside County

JAN PERRY
Councilmember, Ninth District
Cities Representative, Los Angeles County, Western Region

BILL POSTMUS
Supervisor, First District
San Bernardino County Representative

JAMES W. SILVA
Supervisor, Second District
Orange County Representative

CYNTHIA VERDUGO-PERALTA
Governor's Appointee

DENNIS YATES
Mayor, City of Chino
Cities Representative, San Bernardino County

EXECUTIVE OFFICER

BARRY WALLERSTEIN, D. Env.

TABLE OF CONTENTS
ULTRAMAR INC., VALERO WILMINGTON REFINERY
ALKYLATION IMPROVEMENT PROJECT
FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT

VOLUME I

Page No.

1.0	INTRODUCTION AND EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	1-1
	INTRODUCTION	1-1
	PURPOSE/LEGAL REQUIREMENTS	1-2
	SCOPE AND CONTENT	1-2
	LEAD AGENCIES	1-3
	RESPONSIBLE AGENCIES	1-3
	INTENDED USES OF THE EIR	1-4
	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY – CHAPTER 2: PROJECT DESCRIPTION	1-4
	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY – CHAPTER 3: EXISTING ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING	1-10
	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY – CHAPTER 4: SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES.....	1-12
	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY – CHAPTER 5: SUMMARY OF CUMULATIVE IMPACTS	1-14
	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY – CHAPTER 6: SUMMARY OF ALTERNATIVES.....	1-15
	CHAPTERS 7 AND 8 SUMMARY – REFERENCES AND ACRONYMS AND GLOSSARY	1-16
2.0	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	2-1
	PROJECT BACKGROUND AND INSTRUCTIONS	2-1
	PROJECT OBJECTIVES	2-2
	PROJECT LOCATION	2-2
	LAND USE AND ZONING	2-4
	EXISTING REFINERY CONFIGURATION AND OPERATIONS	2-4
	PROPOSED PROJECT MODIFICATIONS TO THE REFINERY	2-7
	A. Transport of Catalyst.....	2-7
	B. Modifications to the Existing Alkylation Unit	2-9
	C. Modifications to the Existing Butamer Unit	2-12
	D. Modifications to the Existing LPG Merox Treating Unit	2-12
	E. Modifications to the Existing Light Ends Recovery Unit	2-13
	F. Modifications to the Existing Naphtha Hydrotreater Unit	2-13
	G. Proposed New Fuel Gas Treating System.....	2-13
	H. Utilities and Auxiliary Facilities	2-13
	CONSTRUCTION OF THE PROPOSED PROJECT	2-15
	OPERATION OF THE PROPOSED PROJECT.....	2-15
	PERMITS AND APPROVALS	2-15

TABLE OF CONTENTS

3.0	EXISTING ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING	3-1
	INTRODUCTION	3-1
	A. AIR QUALITY	3-1
	Meteorological Conditions	3-1
	Temperature And Rainfall	3-1
	Wind Flow Patterns	3-2
	Existing Air Quality	3-2
	Refinery Criteria Pollutant Emissions	3-4
	Toxic Air Contaminants	3-6
	Refinery Baseline Health Risk Assessment	3-8
	Regulatory Background	3-14
	B. HAZARDS & HAZARDOUS MATERIALS	3-16
	Types of On-site Hazards	3-16
	Transportation Risks	3-18
	Regulatory Background	3-21
	C. HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY	3-22
	Water Demand	3-22
	Ground Water Quality	3-22
	Surface Water Quality Setting	3-24
	Storm Water	3-24
	Spill Control And Containment	3-25
	Wastewater	3-25
	Regulatory Background	3-26
	D. NOISE	3-27
	Refinery Existing Noise Levels	3-29
	Regulatory Background	3-32
	E. TRANSPORTATION TRAFFIC	3-33
	Regional Circulation	3-33
	Local Circulation	3-34
	Regulatory Background	3-34
4.0	ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES	4-1
	INTRODUCTION	4-1
	A. AIR QUALITY	4-1
	SIGNIFICANCE CRITERIA	4-1
	CONSTRUCTION EMISSION IMPACTS	4-5
	Construction Equipment	4-6
	Light Duty Trucks/Buses	4-8
	Heavy Diesel Trucks	4-8
	Construction Workers Commuting	4-8
	Fugitive Dust Associated with Site Construction Activities	4-9
	Fugitive Dust Associated with Travel on Paved and Unpaved Roads	4-9
	Architectural Coatings	4-9
	Miscellaneous Emissions	4-9
	Construction Emission Summary	4-10
	OPERATIONAL EMISSION IMPACTS	4-10

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Operational Emissions Summary.....	4-14
CO Hot Spots	4-14
Air Quality Management Plan	4-15
Odors	4-16
Ambient Air Quality Modeling – Criteria Pollutants	4-16
Toxic Air Contaminants.....	4-17
Proposed Project HRA	4-17
Asbestos Emissions From Tank Demolition.....	4-21
MITIGATION MEASURES	4-21
Construction Mitigation Measures.....	4-22
Operational Mitigation Measures	4-25
LEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE AFTER MITIGATION	4-26
Construction.....	4-26
Operation	4-27
B. HAZARDS & HAZARDOUS MATERIALS	4-27
SIGNIFICANCE CRITERIA	4-27
PROPOSED PROJECT IMPACTS.....	4-27
Compliance Issues	4-33
Impacts on Water Quality	4-33
Transportation Hazards	4-34
MITIGATION MEASURES	4-36
LEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE AFTER MITIGATION	4-39
C. HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY	4-39
SIGNIFICANCE CRITERIA	4-39
CONSTRUCTION IMPACTS	4-40
OPERATIONAL IMPACTS	4-40
MITIGATION MEASURES	4-40
LEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE AFTER MITIGATION	4-40
D. NOISE	4-41
SIGNIFICANCE CRITERIA	4-41
CONSTRUCTION IMPACTS	4-41
OPERATIONAL IMPACTS	4-43
Traffic Noise	4-45
MITIGATION MEASURES	4-45
LEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE AFTER MITIGATION	4-45
E. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC.....	4-45
SIGNIFICANCE CRITERIA	4-45
CONSTRUCTION IMPACTS	4-46
OPERATIONAL IMPACTS	4-48
MITIGATION MEASURES	4-49
LEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE AFTER MITIGATION	4-49
F. OTHER CEQA TOPICS	4-49
GROWTH-INDUCING IMPACTS OF THE PROPOSED PROJECT	4-49
SIGNIFICANT IRREVERSIBLE ENVIRONMENTAL CHANGES AND ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS WHICH CANNOT BE AVOIDED.....	4-50

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS FOUND NOT TO BE SIGNIFICANT...	4-51
5.0	CUMULATIVE IMPACTS	5-1
	A. INTRODUCTION	5-1
	B. LOCAL REFINERIES.....	5-1
	C. OTHER RELATED PROJECTS	5-9
	D. AIR QUALITY	5-17
	CONSTRUCTION IMPACTS	5-17
	OPERATIONAL IMPACTS – CRITERIA POLLUTANTS	5-19
	OPERATIONAL IMPACTS – TOXIC AIR CONTAMINANTS	5-21
	MITIGATION MEASURES	5-31
	LEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE AFTER MITIGATION	5-32
	E. HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIAL.....	5-32
	CONSTRUCTION/OPERATIONAL IMPACTS	5-32
	MITIGATION MEASURES	5-33
	LEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE AFTER MITIGATION	5-33
	F. HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY.....	5-33
	CONSTRUCTION/OPERATIONAL IMPACTS	5-33
	MITIGATION MEASURES	5-34
	LEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE AFTER MITIGATION	5-34
	G. NOISE.....	5-34
	CONSTRUCTION IMPACTS	5-34
	OPERATIONAL IMPACTS	5-34
	MITIGATION MEASURES	5-35
	LEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE AFTER MITIGATION	5-35
	H. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC	5-35
	CONSTRUCTION IMPACTS	5-35
	OPERATIONAL IMPACTS	5-36
	MITIGATION MEASURES	5-38
	LEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE AFTER MITIGATION	5-38
6.0	PROJECT ALTERNATIVES	6-1
	INTRODUCTION	6-1
	ALTERNATIVES REJECTED AS INFEASIBLE	6-2
	DESCRIPTION OF THE PROJECT ALTERNATIVES.....	6-4
	ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS FROM THE PROJECT ALTERNATIVES.....	6-5
	CONCLUSION.....	6-9
7.0	REFERENCES	7-1
	REFERENCES	7-1
	ORGANIZATIONS AND PERSONS CONSULTED.....	7-4
8.0	ACRONYMS AND GLOSSARY	8-1
	ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS	8-1
	GLOSSARY	8-5

TABLE OF CONTENTS

APPENDICES:

APPENDIX A:	NOTICE OF PREPARATION (NOP)
APPENDIX B:	EMISSION CALCULATIONS
APPENDIX C:	HAZARD ANALYSIS
APPENDIX D:	TRAFFIC LEVEL OF SERVICE ANALYSES
APPENDIX E:	<i>COMMENTS AND RESPONSES TO COMMENTS RECEIVED ON THE DRAFT EIR</i>

FIGURES:

2-1:	REGIONAL MAP	2-3
2-2:	UTLRAMAR EXISTING REFINERY PLOT PLAN	2-5
2-3:	ULTRAMAR PRE-MODIFICATION REFINERY FLOW DIAGRAM.....	2-6
2-4:	ULTRAMAR ALKYLATION IMPROVEMENT PROJECT PROPOSED REFINERY MODIFICATIONS	2-8
2-5:	ULTRAMAR ALKYLATION UNIT PROPOSED MODIFICATIONS	2-11
3-1:	BASELINE ONE PER MILLION CANCER RISK ISOPLETH ULTRAMAR INC. VALERO WILMINGTON REFINERY	3-12
3-2:	SITE LOCATION & MAXIMUM IMPACT MAP - ULTRAMAR, INC. WILMINGTON REFINERY.....	3-13
3-3:	GENERAL NOISE SOURCES AND THEIR SOUND PRESSURE LEVELS.	3-28
3-4:	ULTRAMAR NOISE MEASUREMENT LOCATIONS	3-30
3-5:	ULTRAMAR LOCAL TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM	3-35
5-1:	REGIONAL MAP SHOWING REFINERIES	5-3
5-2:	RELATED PROJECTS.....	5-4
5-3:	SITE LOCATION & MAXIMUM IMPACT MAP.....	5-26
5-4:	POST PROJECT ONE PER MILLION CANCER RISK ISOPLETH.....	5-27

TABLES:

1-1:	<i>COMPARISON OF ORIGINAL PROJECT WITH MODIFIED PROJECT.....</i>	1-7
1-2:	SUMMARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS, MITIGATION MEASURES AND RESIDUAL IMPACTS	1-17
2-1:	FEDERAL, STATE AND LOCAL AGENCY PERMITS AND APPLICATIONS	2-17
3-1:	AMBIENT AIR QUALITY STANDARDS	3-3
3-2:	AMBIENT AIR QUALITY SOUTH COASTAL LOS ANGELES COUNTY MONITORING STATION (1998-2002).....	3-5
3-3:	REFINERY BASELINE CRITERIA POLLUTANT EMISSIONS.....	3-6
3-4:	AMBIENT AIR QUALITY TOXIC AIR CONTAMINANTS – NORTH LONG BEACH (2000-2001).....	3-7
3-5:	CANCER RISK BASED ON CARB NORTH LONG BEACH MONITORING STATION DATA.....	3-9

TABLE OF CONTENTS

3-6:	EMISSIONS OF INDIVIDUAL TOXIC AIR CONTAMINANTS FROM EXISTING OPERATIONS AT THE ULTRAMAR WILMINGTON REFINERY	3-10
3-7:	SUMMARY OF CANCER RISK	3-14
3-8:	SUMMARY OF EXISTING HAZARDS	3-18
3-9:	TRUCK ACCIDENT RATES FOR CARGO ON HIGHWAYS	3-19
3-10:	ULTRAMAR PREDICTED TRUCK ACCIDENT RATES ASSOCIATED WITH THE CURRENT REFINERY OPERATIONS.....	3-20
3-11:	MAXIMUM ALLOWABLE WASTEWATER CONCENTRATIONS FROM THE INDUSTRIAL WASTEWATER DISCHARGE PERMIT	3-26
3-12:	NOISE LEVEL MEASUREMENT LOCATIONS	3-31
3-13:	SAMPLING RESULTS BACKGROUND AMBIENT NOISE LEVELS, dBA	3-31
3-14:	CITY OF LOS ANGELES NOISE ORDINANCE	3-33
3-15:	ULTRAMAR REFORMULATED FUELS PROGRAM EXISTING LEVEL OF SERVICE ANALYSIS AND VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIOS	3-36
4-1:	AIR QUALITY SIGNIFICANCE THRESHOLDS	4-2
4-2:	RECLAIM CEQA SIGNIFICANCE THRESHOLDS FOR ULTRAMAR REFINERY	4-5
4-3:	ULTRAMAR REFINERY PEAK DAY CONSTRUCTION EMISSIONS FOR ALKYLATION IMPROVEMENT PROJECT.....	4-8
4-4:	ULTRAMAR REFINERY STATIONARY SOURCE OPERATIONAL EMISSIONS	4-11
4-5:	ULTRAMAR REFINERY ALKYLATION IMPROVEMENT PROJECT TOTAL OPERATIONAL EMISSIONS SUMMARY.....	4-15
4-6:	AMBIENT AIR QUALITY IMPACTS	4-17
4-7:	MAXIMUM REFINERY TAC EMISSION RATES PROPOSED PROJECT SCENARIO.....	4-19
4-8:	SUMMARY OF PROPOSED PROJECT CANCER RISK FOR THE ULTRAMAR WILMINGTON REFINERY	4-20
4-9:	PEAK DAY CONSTRUCTION EMISSIONS FOLLOWING MITIGATION.....	4-26
4-10:	MAXIMUM HAZARD DISTANCES	4-28
4-11:	CONSTRUCTION NOISE SOURCES	4-42
4-12:	PROJECT CONSTRUCTION NOISE LEVELS	4-43
4-13:	PROJECT OPERATION NOISE LEVELS	4-44
4-14:	ULTRAMAR CARB PHASE 3 REVISED PROJECT CONSTRUCTION TRAFFIC IMPACTS LEVEL OF SERVICE ANALYSIS AND VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIOS.....	4-47
4-15:	ULTRAMAR CARB PHASE 3 REVISED PROJECT OPERATIONAL TRAFFIC IMPACTS LEVEL OF SERVICE ANALYSIS AND VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO.....	4-49
5-1:	AVAILABLE CUMULATIVE PROJECT PEAK DAY CONSTRUCTION EMISSIONS	5-18
5-2:	CUMULATIVE PROJECT STATIONARY AND INDIRECT	

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	SOURCES OPERATIONAL EMISSIONS	5-19
5-3:	CARB PHASE 3 EXPECTED STATEWIDE EMISSION CHANGES	5-20
5-4:	EMISSION SOURCE CONTRIBUTION TO CANCER RISK FOR POST-PROJECT SCENARIO MEIW	5-22
5-5:	TAC CONTRIBUTION TO CANCER RISK FOR POST-PROJECT SCENARIO MEIW	5-23
5-6:	EMISSION SOURCE CONTRIBUTION TO CANCER RISK FOR POST-PROJECT SCENARIO MEIR.....	5-24
5-7:	TAC CONTRIBUTION TO CANCER RISK FOR POST-PROJECT SCENARIO MEIR.....	5-25
5-8:	MAXIMUM ACUTE HAZARD INDEX BY POLLUTANT FOR THE POST-PROJECT SCENARIO.....	5-28
5-9:	MAXIMUM CHRONIC HAZARD INDEX BY POLLUTANT FOR THE POST-PROJECT SCENARIO.....	5-29
5-10:	SUMMARY OF HEALTH RISK FOR CUMULATIVE PROJECTS	5-30
5-11:	CUMULATIVE OPERATIONAL TRAFFIC IMPACTS LEVEL OF SERVICE ANALYSIS AND VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIOS	5-37
6-1:	ULTRAMAR REFINERY PEAK DAY CONSTRUCTION EMISSIONS FOR ALTERNATIVE 2	6-6
6-2:	ULTRAMAR REFINERY STATIONARY SOURCE OPERATIONAL EMISSIONS	6-8
6-3:	ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS OF ALTERNATIVE.....	6-10

VOLUME II

Health Risk Assessment

DABWORD:2185/EIR/TOC EIR